

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,891	03/15/2004	Shui T. Lai	3500.P005A	3355
30349 7	590 10/16/2006 .		EXAM	INER
JACKSON & CO., LLP			PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F	
6114 LA SALLE AVENUE SUITE 507		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CA 94611-2802		3739	

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/801,891	LAI, SHUI T.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael Peffley	3739		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIII - Extending after - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAP ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2006.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 31-41 is/are pending in the application	1.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 31-41 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examinei	ſ.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stage		
* (application from the International Bureau	` ' ''			
`	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/4/04; 1/6/06</u> .	6) Other:			

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Applicant's response of September 18, 2006 failed to make an election between the three groups of inventions set forth in the election requirement of August 17, 2006. Rather, applicant has canceled the pending claims and provided new claims 31-41. The provision of new claims 31-41 is deemed an election of the invention of Group II drawn to the scanning laser system. The following is an action on the merits of pending claims 31-41.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Cross Reference to Related Applications needs to be updated to provide the most current status (i.e. US Patent Number or "Abandoned") for the related applications.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 3739

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31-41 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,706,036. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because only minor word changes describing the elements have been substituted in the instant application claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Peffley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4770. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,891

Art Unit: 3739

Michael Peffley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

Page 4

mp October 10, 2006